

ORIGINAL



0000097623

RECEIVED

2009 JUN 11 P 12:38

CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL


1 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
 2 Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650)
 3 Todd C. Wiley (No. 015358)
 4 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
 5 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
 6 Telephone (602)916-5000
 7 Attorneys for Pine Water Company

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

8 RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B.
 9 PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE
 10 RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B.
 11 PUGEL FAMILY TRUST,
 12 and
 13 ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY
 14 RANDALL, husband and wife,
 15 Complainants
 16 v.
 17 PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona
 18 Corporation
 19 Respondent.

DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0407

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUN 11 2009



20 ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP.,
 21 Complainant,
 22 v.
 23 PINE WATER COMPANY,
 24 Respondent.

DOCKET NO: W-03512A-06-0613

25 JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and
 26 wife as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST,
 Complainant,
 v.
 PINE WATER COMPANY,
 Respondent.

DOCKET NO: W-03512A-07-0100

BRENT WEEKES,
 Complainant,
 v.
 PINE WATER COMPANY,
 Respondent.

DOCKET NO: W-03512A-07-0019
(Consolidated)

**PINE WATER COMPANY'S
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR
PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE**

1 Respondent Pine Water Company ("PWCo") submits the following response to
2 Complainants' Request for Procedural Conference dated June 4, 2009. In that request,
3 complainants Pugel and Randall have requested "a Procedural Hearing Conference so that
4 the above captioned matter can be scheduled for completion of the hearings on the
5 Rebuttal Testimony and the conclusion of this matter." That request should be denied for
6 the simple reason that complainants' failure to take any action to move this case forward
7 since August 2008 has rendered this complaint matter as stale and obviates any need for a
8 hearing or any further action by the Commission. Further, this docket has been rendered
9 moot by the condemnation complaint filed by the Pine-Strawberry Water Improvement
10 District ("District") to condemn the assets of PWCo, including its water facilities and
11 CC&N service rights.

12 In addressing complainants' request for a procedural conference, the Commission
13 must consider the sordid procedural history of this proceeding, which is highlighted by the
14 failure of complainants to take any substantive action to reach a final decision on the
15 complaint. This docket dates back nearly 36 months--on June 21, 2006, the Pugels filed a
16 complaint against PWCo under Docket No. W-03512A-06-0407. The parties conducted
17 substantial discovery over a roughly six-month period in 2007, and prefiled multiple
18 rounds of testimony. The Commission held hearings on August 6-10, 2007, September
19 27-28, 2007, November 19, 2007, and finally on January 10-11, 2008.

20 In turn, Pugels filed additional rebuttal testimony on January 25, 2008. PWCo then
21 served its 9th and 10th sets of data requests on Pugels on February 5 and 11, 2008, with
22 responses provided by the Pugels on March 11 and 12, 2008. On March 24, 2008, PWCo
23 served its 11th set of data requests, and provided numerous objections to the previous
24 responses provided by Pugels. On June 16, 2008, Pugels then responded to PWCo's 11th
25 set of data requests, but failed to address the outstanding data request issues. On June 27,
26 2008, Pugels provided a supplement to their 11th set of data requests responses.

1 Complainants haven't provided any additional responses to data requests since that time,
2 and the outstanding issues delineated in PWCo's March 24, 2008 letter remain
3 unresolved. PWCo filed a motion to dismiss for lack of prosecution on August 7, 2008
4 and complainants filed a response on August 18, 2008.

5 The bottom line is that complainants filed their complaint in this matter on June 21,
6 2006—nearly 36 months ago. The last hearing in this matter occurred in January 2008.
7 To say the least, complainants have not diligently pursued this matter. Complainants'
8 lack of action and the passage of time in this case have rendered this complaint
9 proceeding stale and wasteful. Even further, additional developments have rendered
10 resolution of this complaint proceeding as moot. Specifically, the Pine-Strawberry Water
11 Improvement District has filed a condemnation lawsuit to condemn the assets of PWCo,
12 including its water facilities and CC&N service rights.

13 As the Commission is aware, the District signed an Order for immediate possession
14 of PWCo and Strawberry Water Company ("SWCo"), which was entered by the Gila
15 County Superior Court on May 5, 2009. That Order required the District to post a
16 \$3,200,000 bond and take possession of the water systems by May 22, 2009.
17 Unfortunately, the District violated the Order for Immediate Possession by failing to post
18 the bond and take possession of the water systems by May 22, 2009. Despite the
19 District's violations of that Order, the District (represented by Mr. Gliege, who also is
20 counsel for complainants) recently reaffirmed its intent to proceed with the condemnation
21 of PWCo in Yavapai County Superior Court. This means that there is absolutely no
22 reason to proceed with this complaint proceeding. Obviously, it doesn't make any sense
23 to take further action on a complaint proceeding to delete complainants from PWCo's
24
25
26

1 CC&N when the District has filed legal proceedings to condemn the Company's assets
2 and CC&N service rights.¹

3 Rather than acknowledge these overriding circumstances which render this
4 complaint proceeding unnecessary, complainants now seek to schedule a hearing in this
5 matter, which boils down to a request that the Commission, Commission Staff and PWCo
6 spend additional time and money resolving what amounts to a stale and moot complaint
7 matter. To say the least, any further proceedings in this docket would waste the
8 Commission's time and resources, as well as the resources and money of PWCo. As such,
9 PWCo respectfully requests that the Commission decline to schedule a procedural
10 conference in this matter and permanently stay this proceeding pending resolution of the
11 condemnation proceeding.

12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11 day of June, 2009.

13 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

14
15 By 

16 Jay L. Shapiro
17 Todd C. Wiley
18 Patrick J. Black
19 3003 North Central Avenue
20 Suite 2600
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
22 Attorneys for Pine Water Company

23 ¹ In the midst of the discovery disputes in March 2008, counsel for PWCo asked counsel
24 for Complainants if Pugels "intend to ever address the inadequacy" of their responses to
25 the 9th and 10th set of data requests as requested in the March 24, 2008 letter. Mr. Gliege
26 replied that his "clients the PSWID are beginning the appraisal of the Pine and Strawberry
Water Companies. Please advise as to what access Pine and Strawberry is willing to give
them to the physical plant and used and useful assets of these companies for purposes of
inspection." Put another way, complainants already have linked their actions in this
complaint proceeding to the actions of the District in seeking condemnation of PWCo.

1 ORIGINAL and fifteen (15) copies of the
2 foregoing filed this 11th day of June 2009:

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 W. Washington St.
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 Copy of the foregoing hand delivered
8 this 11th day of June, 2009, to:

9 Dwight D. Nodes
10 Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
12 1200 W. Washington Street
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007

14 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
15 Kevin Torrey
16 Legal Division
17 Arizona Corporation Commission
18 1200 West Washington
19 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 Ernest Johnson, Director
21 Utilities Division
22 Arizona Corporation Commission
23 1200 West Washington
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

25 COPIES mailed
26 this 11th day of June, 2006.

John G. Gliege
Gliege Law Offices, PLLC
P.O. Box 1388
Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388

David W. Davis
Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C.
3101 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1300
Phoenix, AZ 85012

1 Robert M. Cassaro
2 P.O. Box 1522
3 Pine, AZ 85544

4 Barbara Hall
5 P.O. Box 2198
6 Pine, AZ 85544

7 William F. Haney
8 3018 E. Mallory Street
9 Mesa, AZ 85213

10 By: Mary House
11 2205935.1/75206.010

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26